

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,564	03/25/2004	Frederic Legrand	LOREAL 3.0-018	7962	
530	7590 11/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			ELHILO, EISA B		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	D, NJ 07090		1751	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/200	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/809,564	LEGRAND ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused, ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
,—							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	<u>;</u>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27-45</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 23-25 is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,10,14,16-18,27,32,33,37 and 40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6-8,11-13,15,19-22,28-31,34-36,38-3</u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	!						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.0.0. § 113(a	-(a) or (i).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
- · · · · ·							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	i e						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

- 1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on September 29, 2006.
- The rejection of claims 1-25 and 27-45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dias (US' 791 B1) in view of Riedel et al. (US' 296) is withdrawn after further reviewing the rejection and based on the applicant's remarks with regard to the motivation statement.

New ground of rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9-10, 14, 16-18, 27, 32-33, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rerek Edward (EP 0 237 111).

Rerek Edward (EP' 111) teaches aqueous bleach composition comprising 5 to 30% of peroxide compound (oxidizing agent) of persalts, perborate and hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claims 1, 9, 10, 17, 27 and 37 (see page 3, lines 25-26 and page 4, lines 7-10) and hydroxycarboxylic acid having a formula (I), which is identical to the claimed formula (I) (see page 4, formula (I)), and wherein the mannonic acid, gulonic acid, idonic acid and glucaric acid represent the formula (I) as claimed in claims 1-5 (see page 4, lines 19-27 and STIC Search Report, page 122-123), wherein the composition further comprises surfactants in the amounts of 5 to 30% as claimed in claims 14, 32-33 and 40 (see page 5, lines 24-27), basifying agent as claimed in claim 16 (see page 5, line 2), dyes and fillers as claimed in claim 18 (see page 6, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564

Art Unit: 1751

18-19). Rerek Edward (EP' 111) teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Rerek Edward (EP' 111) anticipates the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4 Claims 6-8, 11-13, 15, 19-22, 28-31, 34-36, 38-39 and 41-45 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record do not teach or disclose the limitations of the instant claims.
- 5 Claims 23-25 are allowed because the prior art do not teach or disclose the limitations of the instant claim.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 and 27-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (WO 02/064151 A1). (STIC Search Report, page 101, 2nd paragraph).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eisa Elhilo

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

November 23, 2006